

BAKER BOTTS LLP

Fax receipt will not be confirmed by phone unless requested.

Unofficial**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAR 24 2004

2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TEXAS
75201-2980
214.953.6500
FAX 214.953.6503
www.bakerbotts.comAUSTIN
BAKU
DALLAS
HOUSTON
LONDON
MOSCOW
NEW YORK
RIYADH
WASHINGTONTO Examiner Monplaisir G. HamiltonFAX NO. 703-746-9118FIRM/COMPANY Patent and Trademark Office

VOICE NO. _____

FROM Brian W. OaksVOICE NO. 214-953-6986DATE March 24, 2004RETURN TO Darla J. RupertPERSONAL FAX NO. 214-661-4676PAGES (including cover) 2**MESSAGE****Notice of Confidentiality**

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is: 1. Subject to the Attorney-client Privilege; 2. Attorney Work Product; or 3. Confidential. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Baker Botts LLP, by telephone at 214.953.6740 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail or, if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

If you do not receive all pages, please call: 214.953.6566 or 214.953.6740 for assistance.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
020431.0790

PATENT APPLICATION
09/825,083

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 24 2004

In re Application of:

Krishnadas C. Kootale

Serial No.:

09/825,083

Filing Date:

April 2, 2001

Group Art Unit:

2172

Examiner:

Monplaisir G. Hamilton

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ALLOCATING
DATA IN A HIERARCHICAL ORGANIZATION
OF DATA

Unofficial

AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Examiner Hamilton,

At your request, I have prepared this agenda for our telephone conference on Friday, March 26, 2004. In conjunction with the filing of the previous Request for Continued Examination, the Applicants amended the independent claims to recite the step of "determining a new value for each child by allocating the new values of the parents to the children based on . . . *either the sum of the variations of the children or a matrix of the variations of the children.*"

In response to this amendment, you provided a new reference, Moslares, which you are using to reject the claims. Regardless of the other limitations of the independent and dependant claims, I cannot see how the sections you cite from Moslares disclose the limitation above. I would like to discuss this issue in the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicant
Brian W. Oaks
Reg. No. 44,981